1 2 3 4	RYLEY, CARLOCK & APPLEWHITE 101 North First Avenue, Suite 2700 Phoenix, Arizona 85003-1973 Telephone (602) 258-7701 Telecopier (602) 257-9582 John J. Fries E-mail: fries@ryleycarlock.com		
5	SIDLEY & AUSTIN		
6	One First National Plaza		
	Chicago, IL 60603 Telephone (312) 853-7000		
7	Telecopier (312) 853-7036 Larry J. Nyhan Illinois State Bar No. 03128378		
8	Brad B. Erens Illinois State Bar No. 6206864		
9	E-mail: lnyhan@sidley.com or berens@sidley.com		
10	Attorneys for Bank of America, N.A.		
11	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
12	In Re:	Proceedings Under Chapter 11	
13	BCE WEST, L.P. et al.,	Case No. B 98-12547-ECF-CGC	
14	Debtors.	(Case Nos. 98-12547-ECF-CGC through 98-12570-ECF-CGC)	
15	EID: 38-319719	,	
16)	Jointly Administered	
17			
	OBJECTION OF BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.		
18	TO THE MOTION FIL	ED BY LIFTPAK SERVICES, L.C.	
19	Bank of America, N.A. (formerly Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association)		
20	as common collateral agent (the "Agent") for (a) certain pre-petition revolving lenders of the debtors, and		
21	(b) certain other pre-petition lenders of the debtors pursuant to that certain Master Lease Agreement No. 2		
22	dated as of December 9, 1996, as amended (colle	ectively, the "1996 Lenders"), hereby submits this objection	

(the "Objection") to Liftpak Services, L.C.'s ("Liftpak") Combined Motion (A) for Relief From Automatic

Stay Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 362 and Abandonment of Property Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 554, (B) for Allowance

and Immediate Payment of an Administrative Claim Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 503 and (C) for Allowance and

Immediate Payment of a Claim Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 365 (the "Motion"). In support of this Objections,

23

24

25

26

the Agent states as follows:

I. Introduction

Liftpak claims to have entered into fourteen pre-petition leases (the "Leases") for equipment (the "Compactors") with BC Greatlakes, L.L.C. (the "Debtor," and along with the related entities in the above-captioned cases, the "Debtors"). Liftpak further claims that it suffered post-petition losses relating to thirteen of the Leases. The Motion is both procedurally and substantively deficient in numerous respects and the relief sought therein should be denied.

II. The Motion is Procedurally Deficient

Liftpak seeks relief against the Agent, General Electric Capital Corporation ("GECC") and Citizens Bank of Rhode Island (together with GECC and the Agent, the "Agents") based upon their status as agents of certain lending groups. Bankruptcy Rule 7001 requires that if Liftpak seeks monetary relief from the Agents, it must do so by initiating an adversary proceeding. Liftpak has not done so. As a result, the Motion should be denied.

III. The Relief Sought in the Motion Cannot be Granted

In addition to the procedural defect noted above, the Motion fails to state a claim for which relief can be granted and should, pursuant to Rule 7012(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, be dismissed. By way of further response, the Agent asserts that issues of law and disputed material fact exist including, but not limited to the following:

Liftpack's claim, if any, against funds held by the Agents cannot exceed the actual compactor proceeds held by the Agents. Liftpack does not allege that the Agents sold its property, or even that the Agents knew that the Debtors were selling Liftpack's property. Accordingly, even if Liftpack's claims are not barred by prior orders of this Court, there is no logical or legal basis for Liftpack to assert a claim against the Agents for an amount that is greater than the actual sale proceeds (if any) held by the Agents in respect of the Compactors.

Liftpack's claim, if any, against the Debtors cannot exceed the value of the Compactors at the time of sale. Liftpak asserts that the Debtors constructively assumed the Leases and that the Debtors are, therefore, liable for \$7,845 per Compactor -- the alleged value of each Compactor on the petition date. But case law under Section 365 is clear that a debtor must obtain express court authority to assume an unexpired lease. It is undisputed that the Debtors never sought Court approval to assume Liftpack's agreements. Accordingly, any claim Liftpack has against the Debtors -- assuming that Liftpack's factual allegations are true and that Liftpack's claims are not barred by prior orders of this Court -- cannot exceed the value of the Compactors at the time of sale. On information and belief, this value was substantially less than the \$7,845 asserted by Liftpack.

Any administrative claim held by Liftpack is subordinate in priority to the liens and priority claims held by the 1996 Lenders. The 1996 Lenders hold prepetition liens on substantially all of the Debtors' assets to secure payment of more than \$200 million that is owed to the 1996 Lenders. Moreover, the 1996 Lenders hold liens on the Debtors' postpetition assets, as well as a superpriority administrative claim, to secure payment of a portion of the prepetion obligations pursuant to the terms of interim and final cash collateral/adequate protection orders entered in these proceedings. Each of these liens and priority claims is superior in right of payment to any administrative claim that could be awarded to Liftpack. Accordingly, while the Agent disputes Liftpack's entitlement to any administrative priority claim, such a claim, if awarded, without the consent of the 1996 Lenders, cannot be paid either (a) from the 1996 Lenders' collateral, or (b) prior to full payment of the 1996 Lenders' superpriority claim.

 $^{^{1/}}$ Of course, the DIP Lenders enjoy liens and superpriority claims that are senior to those held by the 1996 Lenders.

1	- Liftpak is not entitled to freight charges. Part of the relief sought by Liftpak is			
2	reimbursement of freight charges. However, the Leases state that "[u]pon termination hereof			
3		the equipment shall be returned to LIFTPAK by [the Debtor] at [the Debtor's] sole expense,		
4		excluding transportation " (emphasis added).		
5				
6	-	- The number of compactors stolen or sold post-petition is disputed. On information and		
7		belief, the Debtors dispute Liftpack's allegations concerning the number of Compactors that		
8		were sold or stolen post-petition.		
9	Conclusion			
10		For the reasons set forth above, the Agent respectfully requests the Court to deny the Motion		
11	in its entirety.			
12	Dated:	August 31, 1999		
13		SIDLEY & AUSTIN		
14		Larry J. Nyhan Brad B. Erens Bolob Gundrum		
15		Ralph Gundrum One First National Plaza Chicago, H. 60603		
16		Chicago, IL 60603 Telephone (312) 853-7000 Telephone (212) 853-7036		
17		Telecopier (312) 853-7036 Attorneys for Bank of America, N.A.		
18				
19				
20		RYLEY, CARLOCK & APPLEWHITE		
21				
22		By: /s/ John J. Fries (#007182)		
23		John J. Fries, as Co-Counsel 101 North First Avenue, Suite 2700		
24		Phoenix, Arizona 85003-1973 Telephone (602) 258-7701 Telephone (602) 257, 0582		
25		Telecopier (602) 257-9582		
26				

1	COPY of the foregoing mailed/e.mailed this 31st	
2	day of August, 1999 to the following:	
3	Daniel P. Collins	Evan D. Flaschen
	Leonard Collins & Kelly	Richard Casher
4	Two Renaissance Square	Anthony J. Smits
	40 North Central Avenue	Anna M. Gustafson
5	Suite 2500	Bingham Dana, LLP
_	Phoenix, Arizona 85004	One State Street
6	dcollins@lck.net	Hartford, CT 06103
_	Attorneys for LIFTPAK	flasched@bingham.com
7	Complianment (VIA FIDET CLASS MAIL)	casherrf@bingham.com
0	Gary Hammond (VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL) Groom Hammond & Harris	smitsaj@bingham.com
8		Danald Cofffian
9	100 North Broadway, Suite 1440 Oklahoma City,Oklahoma 73102	Donald Gafffiey Snell & Wilmer
9	Co-counsel for LIFTPAK	400 East Van Buren, 10,h Floor
10	Co-counsel for En 1171K	Phoenix, AZ 85004
10	H. Rey Stroube, III	dgaffney@snlaw.com
11	Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer & Feld, L.L.P.	againey e smameom
	711 Louisiana, Suite 1900	Richard S. Toder
12	Houston, TX 77002	Zalkin, Rodin & Goodman, LLP
	efiler@akingump.com	750 Third Avenue
13		New York, NY 10017-2771
	Randolph J. Haines	Email address: TODE6052@MLB.COM
14	Lewis and Roca LLP	SCHE6083@MLB.COM
	40 North Central Avenue	GOTT6148@MLB.COM
15	Phoenix, AZ 85004-4429	
	<u>rjh@lrlaw.com</u>	Alisa Lacey
16	N.D. : 1. C.	Osborne Maledon, P.A.
1 7	N. Dwight Cary	2929 North Central Avenue
17	Jean B. LeBlanc Murphy Shapeman Julian & Pagara	Phoenix, AZ 85012-2794
18	Murphy Sheneman Julian & Rogers 2049 Century Park East, 21st	aclacey@omlaw.com
Τ0	Los Angeles, CA 90067	United States Trustee
19	dcary@msjr.com	2929 North Central Avenue
1)	jleblancp@msjr.com	Suite 700
20	<u>jicounepe inspicom</u>	P.O. Box 36170
	Thomas Salerno	Phoenix, AZ 85067-6170
21	Squire Sanders	Ric.J.Cuellar@usdoj.gov
	40 North Central Avenue, Suite 2700	
22	Phoenix, AZ 85004	By /s/ Amy Andrews
	tsalerno@ssd.com	· =
23		